SEP 2 8 2004 2 1:11PM

0.527 P.1"

### CANTOR COLBURN LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002

Tel: (860) 286-2929 Fax: (860) 286-0115

# FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE: September 28, 2004

TO: <u>USPTO Appeals Division - After Final</u>

cc:

FAX NO.: (703) 746-4000

FROM: Karen A. LeCuyer, Patent Agent

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES SENT

(INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET): (9) - including this cover sheet

COMMENTS: | Re: Our Docket No. NOV-0001; U.S. Ser. No.: 10/072,823

If there are any problems with this facsimile transmission, please contact Leila Leahy at: (860) 286-2929, Ext. 1187. Thank you.

IMPORTANT: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, distributing or copying this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

CENTIFICATE OF	Docket No. NOV-0001			
Application No. 10/072,823	Filing Date February 8, 2002	Examiner M. V. Meller	Customer No. 23413	Group Art Unit 1654
Invention: ANTI-CAN	ICER AGENTS AND ME	ETHOD OF USE THEREOF		
I hereby certify that t	his Copy of Final OA (	5p.); Notice of Appeal (1 orig. 1 copy). (Identify type of correspondence)		
is being deposited w	ith the United States Pos	stal Service with sufficient postage as	first class mail in	an envelope
	nissioner for Patents, P.C eptember 28, 2004 (Date)	D. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-14	\$50" [37 CFR 1.8	(a)] on
	(Dase)	Leila L (Typed or Printed Name of Perso	eahy on Malliny Correspond	ence)
		(Signature of Person Mail	Bear	
	Note: Each pap	er must have its own certificate of mailing.		
			-	,
				İ

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	P	ILING DATE			
		TOTA DUID	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,823		02/08/2002	Sophie Chen	NOV-0001 - 3	1435
29413 CANTOD 4	7590	07/28/2004		EXAM	NER
CANTOR	COTRON	LN, LLP	Silicia (como —	METTERN	CUARL V

55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002

RECEIVED

1654 DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

JUL 3 0 2004

**CANTOR COLBURN LLP** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP-28.2004 1:12PM CANTOR COLBURN LLP 86	lication No.	Applicants)
100	)72,8 <b>23</b>	CHEN, SOPHIE
2+B 2004 💍	miner	Art Unit
`	naei V. Meller	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	as the cours cheef W	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS 8 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1,136(a).  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1,136(a).  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will app  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attaute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on O7 May 2  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action  - Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pay  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9, 11-16, 18-23, 26-29, 32-35 are is/are  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn for the claim is/are allowed.	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of this hy and will expire SIX (6) MO to the application to become A of this communication, even to the communication, even to the communication of	reply be timely filed  inty (30) days will be considered limely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  If timely filed, may reduce any  otters, prosecution as to the merits is  D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
6) Claim(s) 1-9, 11-16, 18-23, 26-29, 32-35 are is/are 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele		
application report  by The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ving(s) be held in abe) is required if the drawi	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.65(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents he 2. Certified copies of the priority documents he 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in documents have be PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(2)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Intervie	aw Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date

U.S. Fatent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2

Page 2

Application/Control N. ....r: 10/072,823

-Art Unit: 1654

1:12PM

## DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-9, 11-16, 18-23, 26-29, 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 57167938, GB 1476016 or JP 352102434 taken with JP 11236334 or JP 52145509.

Applicant has argued that the types of cancer being treated are different in the various references. The references teach using the individual components to treat cancer. The references do not have to teach applicants' use. The references just happen to teach that the individual components can be used to treat cancer. This in and of Itself would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to use the two components together to produce the same composition as claimed. That is all that is required by patent law. There is no unpredictability as applicant suggests. The individual components are known to be used individually in the art for the same purpose, namely, to treat cancer.

Applicant next argues that the case law cited is not appropriate for this case. The case law cited is just that, law. These cases are routinely used in the pharmacautical arts and this case involves a plant extract which does not need FDA approval, so technically it is a natural pharmaceutical not as applicant has

P.8

Application/Control N ... r. 10/072,823

'Art Unit: 1654

suggested. The plant extract art is well settled and the known treatments from these plants are well known as is evidenced by the references.

Applicant asks why the examiner says that the plant extracts do not need FDA approval. This is because they are natural products, i.e. they are not synthetically made. Thus, there is nothing unpredictable about them.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

Page 4

Application/Control N \_ i=r: 10/072,823

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

**MVM**